

ON GENERALIZED GAUDUCHON METRICS

ANNA FINO AND LUIS UGARTE

ABSTRACT. We study a class of Hermitian metrics on complex manifolds, recently introduced by J. Fu, Z. Wang and D. Wu, which are a generalization of Gauduchon metrics. This class includes the one of Hermitian metrics for which the associated fundamental 2-form is $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Examples are given on nilmanifolds, on products of Sasakian manifolds, on S^1 -bundles and via the twist construction introduced by A. Swann.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, J, g) be a Hermitian manifold of real dimension $2n$. If the fundamental 2-form $\Omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ is d -closed, then the metric g is Kähler. In the literature, weaker conditions on Ω have been studied and they involve the closure with respect to the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -operator of the (k, k) -form $\Omega^k = \Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega$.

If $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0$, then the Hermitian structure (J, g) is said to be *strong Kähler with torsion* and g is called *SKT* (or also *pluriclosed*) (see e.g. [13]). In this case the Hermitian structure is characterized by the condition that torsion 3-form $c = Jd\Omega$ of the Bismut connection is d -closed. SKT metrics have been recently studied by many authors and they have also applications in type II string theory and in 2-dimensional supersymmetric σ -models [13, 27, 18]. Moreover, they have also links with generalized Kähler structures (see for instance [13, 16, 17, 3]). New simply-connected SKT examples have been constructed by A. Swann in [28] via the twist construction, by reproducing the 6-dimensional examples found previously in [14]. Recently, Streets and Tian introduced a Hermitian Ricci flow under which the SKT condition is preserved [26].

If $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega^{n-2} = 0$, then the Hermitian metric g on M is said to be *astheno-Kähler*. Jost and Yau used this condition in [19] to study Hermitian harmonic maps and to extend Siu's rigidity theorem to non-Kähler manifolds.

On a complex surface any Hermitian metric is automatically astheno-Kähler and in complex dimension $n = 3$ the notion of astheno-Kähler metric coincides with that one of SKT. Astheno-Kähler structures on products of Sasakian manifolds and then in particular on Calabi-Eckmann manifolds have been constructed in [22]. For $n > 3$ other examples of astheno-Kähler manifolds have been found in [10] via the twist construction [28], blow-ups and resolutions of orbifolds.

In [9] it was shown that the blow-up of an SKT manifold M at a point or along a compact complex submanifold Y is still SKT, as in the Kähler case (see for example [4]). Moreover, by [10] the same property holds for the blow-ups of complex manifolds endowed with a J -Hermitian metric such that $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0$ and $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega^2 = 0$.

If Ω^{n-1} is $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed or equivalently if the Lee form is co-closed, then the Hermitian metric g is called *standard* or a *Gauduchon metric* [12]. Recently, in [11] J.

Fu, Z. Wang and D. Wu introduced a generalization of the Gauduchon metrics on complex manifolds. Let (M, J) be a complex manifold M of complex dimension n and let k be an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, a J -Hermitian metric g on M is called k -th *Gauduchon* if

$$(1) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\Omega^k \wedge \Omega^{n-k-1} = 0.$$

Then, by definition the notion of $(n-1)$ -th Gauduchon metric coincides with the one of the usual Gauduchon metric. In [11] it is associated to any Hermitian structure Ω on a complex manifold (M, J) a unique constant $\gamma_k(\Omega)$, which is invariant by biholomorphisms and which depends smoothly on Ω . It is also proved that $\gamma_k(\Omega) = 0$ if and only if there exists a k -th Gauduchon metric in the conformal class of Ω . Moreover, the first examples of 1-st Gauduchon metrics have been constructed in [11] on the three-dimensional complex manifolds constructed by Calabi and on $S^5 \times S^1$.

In this paper we will study 1-st Gauduchon metrics, i.e. Hermitian metrics for which

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} = 0.$$

This class of metrics includes the SKT ones as particular case, so it is natural to study which properties that hold for the SKT metrics are still valid in the case of 1-st Gauduchon ones.

Astheno-Kähler and SKT metrics on compact complex manifolds cannot be balanced for $n > 2$ unless they are Kähler (see [21, 1]), where by balanced one means that the Lee form vanishes. If the Lee form is exact, then the Hermitian structure is called conformally balanced. By [18, 24, 10] a conformally balanced SKT (or astheno-Kähler) structure on a compact manifold of complex dimension n whose Bismut connection has (restricted) holonomy contained in $SU(n)$ is necessarily Kähler. In Section 2 we prove similar results for 1-st Gauduchon metrics.

In complex dimension 3 invariant SKT structures on *nilmanifolds*, i.e. on compact quotients of nilpotent Lie groups by uniform discrete subgroups, were studied in [8, 30] showing that the existence of such a structure depends only on the left-invariant complex structure on the Lie group and that the Lie group is 2-step. Nilmanifolds of any even dimension endowed with a left-invariant complex structure J and an SKT J -Hermitian metric were studied in [5] showing that they are all 2-step and they are indeed the total space of holomorphic principal torus bundles over complex tori.

In Section 3 we prove that on a 6-dimensional nilmanifold endowed with an invariant complex structure J , an invariant J -Hermitian metric is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if it is SKT. However, by using the results in [11] we show that there are complex 6-dimensional nilmanifolds admitting non-invariant 1-st Gauduchon metrics. A family J_t , $t \in (0, 1]$, of complex structures admitting compatible 1-st Gauduchon metrics for any t and such that J_t admits SKT metrics only for $t = 1$ is also given on a 6-dimensional nilmanifold. Moreover, we show that this family of complex structures on the previous nilmanifold does not have any compatible (invariant or not) balanced metric.

The situation is different in higher dimension since in Section 4 we give examples in dimension 8 of complex nilmanifolds admitting invariant 1-st Gauduchon metrics and no SKT metrics. These examples are products of (quasi)-Sasakian manifolds. We construct 1-st Gauduchon metrics on S^1 -bundles over quasi-Sasakian manifolds

and on the product of two Sasakian manifolds endowed with the complex structure introduced in [22]. In Section 5 we study the existence of 1-st Gauduchon metrics on the blow-up of a complex manifold at a point or along a compact submanifold. In the last section, by using the twist construction [28], we find examples of simply-connected 6-dimensional compact complex manifolds endowed with 1-st Gauduchon metrics.

2. 1-ST GAUDUCHON METRICS AND RELATION WITH THE BALANCED CONDITION

In this section we show that 1-st Gauduchon metrics, as the SKT ones, are complementary to the balanced condition. Let us start to review the definition and the properties of the k -th Gauduchon metrics contained in [11].

We recall the following

Definition 2.1. [11] *Let (M, J) be a complex manifold of complex dimension n and let k be an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. A J -Hermitian metric g on (M, J) is called k -th Gauduchon if its fundamental 2-form Ω satisfies the condition (1).*

For $k = n-1$ one gets the classical standard metric. Moreover, according to the previous definition, an SKT metric is a 1-st Gauduchon metric and an astheno-Kähler metric is a $(n-2)$ -th Gauduchon metric.

Extending the result proved by Gauduchon in [12] for standard metrics it is shown in [11] that if (M, J, g, Ω) is a n -dimensional compact Hermitian manifold, then for any integer $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, there exists a unique constant $\gamma_k(\Omega)$ and a (unique up to a constant) function $v \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (e^v \Omega^k) \wedge \Omega^{n-k-1} = \gamma_k(\Omega) e^v \Omega^n.$$

If (M, J, g, Ω) is Kähler, then $\gamma_k(\Omega) = 0$ and v is a constant function for any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

The constant $\gamma_k(\Omega)$ is invariant under biholomorphisms and by [11, Proposition 11] the sign of $\gamma_k(\Omega)$ is invariant in the conformal class of Ω .

To compute the sign of the constant $\gamma_k(\Omega)$ one can use the following

Proposition 2.2. [11] *For a Hermitian structure (J, g, Ω) on an n -dimensional complex manifold (M, J) , the number $\gamma_k(\Omega)$ is > 0 ($= 0$, or < 0) if and only if there exists a metric $\tilde{g}$ in the conformal class of g such that*

$$\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\Omega}^k \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n-k-1} > 0 \text{ (} = 0, \text{ or } < 0 \text{),}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the fundamental 2-form associated to $(J, \tilde{g})$.

For $n = 3$, by [11, Theorem 6] one has the following

Proposition 2.3. [11] *On any compact 3-dimensional complex manifold there exists a Hermitian metric g such that its fundamental 2-form Ω has $\gamma_1(\Omega) > 0$.*

So for $n = 3$ if one finds a Hermitian metric $\tilde{g}$ such that its fundamental 2-form $\tilde{\Omega}$ has $\gamma_1(\tilde{\Omega}) < 0$, by using [11, Corollary 10] then there exists a 1-st Gauduchon metric.

We recall that the Lee form of a Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) of complex dimension n is the 1-form

$$\theta = J * d * \Omega = J d^* \Omega,$$

where d^* is the formal adjoint of d with respect to g . The formula $*\Omega = \Omega^{n-1}/(n-1)!$ implies that $d(\Omega^{n-1}) = \theta \wedge \Omega^{n-1}$. The Hermitian structure (J, g) is called *balanced* if θ vanishes or equivalently if $d(\Omega^{n-1}) = 0$ and such structures belong to the class W_3 in the well known Gray-Hervella classification [15].

In real dimension 4, the SKT condition is equivalent to $d^*\theta = 0$, but in higher dimension both the SKT and the astheno-Kähler condition on a compact Hermitian manifold are complementary to the balanced one [1, 21]. In the case of 1-st Gauduchon metrics we can prove the following

Proposition 2.4. *Let (M, J) be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 3$. If g is a J -Hermitian metric which is 1-st Gauduchon and balanced, then g is Kähler.*

Proof. For a compact Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) one has the two natural linear operators acting on differential forms:

$$L\varphi = \Omega \wedge \varphi,$$

and the adjoint operator L^* of L with respect to the global scalar product defined by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = p! \int_M (\varphi, \psi) \text{vol}_g,$$

where Ω is the fundamental 2-form of (J, g) , (φ, ψ) is the pointwise g -scalar product and vol_g is the volume form. By [21] one has

$$(2) \quad L^{*3}(2i\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega) = 96(n-2)[2d^*\theta + 2\|\theta\|^2 - \|T\|^2],$$

where θ is the Lee form, $d^*\theta$ its co-differential, $\|\theta\|$ its g -norm and T is the torsion of the Chern connection ∇^C .

By using the formula

$$L^{*r}L^s\varphi = L^sL^{*r}\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^r 4^i(i!)^2 \binom{s}{i} \binom{r}{i} \binom{n-p-i-s+r}{i} L^{s-i}L^{*r-i}\varphi,$$

which holds for any p -form φ and any positive integer $r \leq s$, one gets

$$(3) \quad L^{*n}(2i\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2}) = L^{*n}(L^{n-3}(2i\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega)) = 4^n \frac{n!}{3!} (n-3)! L^{*3}(2i\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega).$$

Therefore, if (J, g) is balanced, then $\theta = 0$ and, consequently, the 1-st Gauduchon condition implies that $T = 0$, i.e. g is Kähler. $\square$

A Hermitian structure is called *conformally balanced* if the Lee form θ is d -exact. By [18, 24, 10], a conformally balanced SKT (or astheno-Kähler) structure on a compact manifold of complex dimension n whose Bismut connection has (restricted) holonomy contained in $SU(n)$ is necessarily Kähler. We can now prove a similar result for the 1-st Gauduchon metrics.

Theorem 2.5. *A conformally balanced 1-st Gauduchon structure (J, g) on a compact manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 3$ whose Bismut connection has (restricted) holonomy contained in $SU(n)$ is necessarily Kähler and therefore it is a Calabi-Yau structure.*

Proof. Since the Hermitian structure is 1-st Gauduchon, then by (2) and (3), we have

$$2d^*\theta + 2\|\theta\|^2 - \|T\|^2 = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(4) \quad d^* \theta = \frac{1}{2} \|T\|^2 - \|\theta\|^2.$$

By [1, formula (2.11)], the trace $2u$ of the Ricci form of the Chern connection is related to the trace b of the Ricci form of the Bismut connection by the equation

$$(5) \quad 2u = b + 2d^* \theta + 2\|\theta\|^2.$$

Since the condition that the Bismut connection has (restricted) holonomy contained in $SU(n)$ implies that the Ricci form of the Bismut connection vanishes, by using (4) and (5), we obtain

$$2u = \|T\|^2.$$

Now, if $u > 0$ then it follows from [18, Theorem 4.1 and formula (4.4)] that all plurigeners of (M, J) vanish. But, since (J, g) is conformally balanced, there exists a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(n, 0)$ -form by [27, 24]. Therefore, u must vanish and g is Kähler. $\square$

3. GENERALIZED GAUDUCHON METRICS ON 6-NILMANIFOLDS

In this section we study 1-st Gauduchon metrics on complex nilmanifolds $(M = \Gamma \backslash G, J)$ of real dimension six endowed with an invariant complex structure J , that is, G is a simply-connected nilpotent Lie group and Γ is a lattice in G of maximal rank, and J arises from a left-invariant complex structure on the Lie group G .

In [25] S. Salamon proved that, up to isomorphism, there are exactly eighteen nilpotent Lie algebras of real dimension 6 admitting complex structures. In [30] it was shown that in fact there are two special and disjoint types of complex equations for the 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras, depending on the “nilpotency” of the complex structure. We recall that a complex structure J on a $2n$ -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called nilpotent if there is a basis of $(1, 0)$ -forms $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^n$ satisfying $d\omega^1 = 0$ and

$$d\omega^j \in \Lambda^2 < \omega^1, \dots, \omega^{j-1}, \bar{\omega}^1, \dots, \bar{\omega}^{j-1} >, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

In dimension six one has the following

Proposition 3.1. [30, 31] *Let J be an invariant complex structure on a nilmanifold M of real dimension six.*

- (i) *If J is nilpotent then there is a global basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ of invariant $(1, 0)$ -forms on M satisfying*

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} d\omega^1 = 0, \\ d\omega^2 = \epsilon \omega^{1\bar{1}}, \\ d\omega^3 = \rho \omega^{12} + (1 - \epsilon)A \omega^{1\bar{1}} + B \omega^{1\bar{2}} + C \omega^{2\bar{1}} + (1 - \epsilon)D \omega^{2\bar{2}}, \end{cases}$$

where $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\epsilon, \rho \in \{0, 1\}$.

- (ii) *If J is non-nilpotent then there is a global basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ of invariant $(1, 0)$ -forms on M satisfying*

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} d\omega^1 = 0, \\ d\omega^2 = \omega^{13} + \omega^{1\bar{3}}, \\ d\omega^3 = i\epsilon \omega^{1\bar{1}} \pm i(\omega^{1\bar{2}} - \omega^{2\bar{1}}), \end{cases}$$

with $\epsilon = 0, 1$.

The fundamental 2-form Ω of any invariant J -Hermitian metric g on M is then given by

$$(8) \quad \Omega = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 x_{j\bar{k}} \omega^{j\bar{k}},$$

where $x_{j\bar{k}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{x_{k\bar{j}}} = -x_{j\bar{k}}$. Notice that the positive definiteness of the metric g implies in particular that

$$-i x_{j\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad i \det(x_{j\bar{k}}) > 0.$$

The following result is proved by a direct calculation, so we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (J, g) be a Hermitian structure on a 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and Ω its fundamental form.*

- (i) *If J is nilpotent, then in terms of the basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ satisfying (6), the $(2, 2)$ -form $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega$ is given by*

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = x_{3\bar{3}} (\rho + |B|^2 + |C|^2 - 2(1 - \epsilon) \Re(A\bar{D})) \omega^{1\bar{1}2\bar{2}}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{i}{2} \partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega = \frac{i}{2} \frac{x_{3\bar{3}}^2}{\det(x_{j\bar{k}})} (\rho + |B|^2 + |C|^2 - 2(1 - \epsilon) \Re(A\bar{D})) \Omega^3,$$

and therefore the sign of $\gamma_1(\Omega)$ depends only on the complex structure.

- (ii) *If J is non-nilpotent, then in terms of the basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ satisfying (7), the form $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega$ is given by*

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 2x_{3\bar{3}} \omega^{1\bar{1}2\bar{2}} + 2x_{2\bar{2}} \omega^{1\bar{1}3\bar{3}}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{i}{2} \partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega = i \frac{x_{2\bar{2}}^2 + x_{3\bar{3}}^2}{\det(x_{j\bar{k}})} \Omega^3,$$

and therefore $\gamma_1(\Omega) > 0$ for any Ω .

As an immediate consequence we get

Proposition 3.3. *Let (M, J) be a complex nilmanifold of real dimension six endowed with an invariant complex structure J . An invariant J -Hermitian metric on M is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if it is SKT.*

The classification of nilmanifolds of real dimension 6 admitting invariant SKT metric is given in [8]. It is clear from Lemma 3.2 that J has to be nilpotent and ϵ must be zero in order to have an invariant Hermitian structure Ω such that $\gamma_1(\Omega) < 0$. By [30] it follows that if $\epsilon = 0$ and J is not bi-invariant then there is a basis $\{\omega^j\}_{j=1}^3$ such that

$$(9) \quad d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \quad d\omega^3 = \rho \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + B \omega^{1\bar{2}} + (x + iy) \omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

where $B, x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\rho = 0, 1$. The underlying real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to one of the following Lie algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_2 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 34) & \mathfrak{h}_3 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 12 + 34) \\ \mathfrak{h}_4 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 14 + 23) & \mathfrak{h}_5 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 13 + 42, 14 + 23) \\ \mathfrak{h}_6 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 13) & \mathfrak{h}_8 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 12), \end{aligned}$$

where for instance by $(0,0,0,0,0,12)$ we denote the Lie algebra with structure equations $de^j = 0, j = 1, \dots, 5, de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^2$. The classes of isomorphisms can be distinguished by the following conditions:

- (a) If $|B| = \rho$, then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to
 - (a1) $\mathfrak{h}_2$, for $y \neq 0$;
 - (a2) $\mathfrak{h}_3$, for $\rho = y = 0$ and $x \neq 0$;
 - (a3) $\mathfrak{h}_4$, for $\rho = 1, y = 0$ and $x \neq 0$;
 - (a4) $\mathfrak{h}_6$, for $\rho = 1$ and $x = y = 0$;
 - (a5) $\mathfrak{h}_8$, for $\rho = x = y = 0$.
- (b) If $|B| \neq \rho$, then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to
 - (b1) $\mathfrak{h}_2$, for $4y^2 > (\rho - |B|^2)(4x + \rho - |B|^2)$;
 - (b2) $\mathfrak{h}_4$, for $4y^2 = (\rho - |B|^2)(4x + \rho - |B|^2)$;
 - (b3) $\mathfrak{h}_5$, for $4y^2 < (\rho - |B|^2)(4x + \rho - |B|^2)$.

Remark 3.4. Notice that $\mathfrak{h}_5$ is the Lie algebra underlying the Iwasawa manifold. The bi-invariant complex structure J_0 on $\mathfrak{h}_5$ corresponds to $\rho = 1$ and $\epsilon = A = B = C = D = 0$ in (6). It is proved in [11] that the natural balanced metric on the Iwasawa manifold has $\gamma_1 > 0$, and by Lemma 3.2 (i) we see that the same holds for any other invariant J_0 -Hermitian structure Ω on the Iwasawa manifold.

Proposition 3.5. *Let (M, J) be a complex nilmanifold of real dimension 6 endowed with an invariant complex structure J . Then, there is an invariant J -Hermitian metric Ω such that $\gamma_1(\Omega) < 0$ if and only if M corresponds to $\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h}_3, \mathfrak{h}_4$ or $\mathfrak{h}_5$.*

Proof. If J is bi-invariant then $\gamma_1(\Omega)$ cannot be negative, for any invariant Ω . By the previous discussion J must be nilpotent and there exists a basis of invariant $(1,0)$ -forms satisfying the reduced equations (9). From Lemma 3.2 (i) it follows that there is an invariant J -Hermitian structure Ω such that $\gamma_1(\Omega) < 0$ if and only if

$$(10) \quad 2x > \rho + |B|^2.$$

Since this implies $x > 0$, the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_6$ and $\mathfrak{h}_8$ are excluded because they correspond to cases (a4) and (a5) above. Given ρ and B , we can choose $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (10) and one of the conditions (b1), (b2) or (b3). This shows the existence of a Ω with $\gamma_1(\Omega) < 0$ on the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h}_4$ and $\mathfrak{h}_5$. Finally, the result for $\mathfrak{h}_3$ follows from (a2). $\square$

Given a complex nilmanifold (M, J) with invariant J , the existence of an SKT or a balanced Hermitian metric implies the existence of an invariant one obtained by the symmetrization process [7]. From Propositions 2.3 and 3.5 we conclude:

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be a nilmanifold of real dimension 6 with underlying Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h}_3, \mathfrak{h}_4$ or $\mathfrak{h}_5$. Then, there is an invariant complex structure J on M admitting a (non invariant) 1-st Gauduchon metric and having no J -Hermitian SKT metrics.*

The following example gives a deformation of an SKT structure in a family of complex structures which do not admit any compatible SKT metric, but they have compatible 1-st Gauduchon metrics.

Example 3.7. Let M be a nilmanifold with underlying Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_4$, and let $\{e^1, \dots, e^6\}$ be a basis of invariant 1-forms on M such that

$$de^1 = de^2 = de^3 = de^4 = 0, \quad de^5 = e^{12}, \quad de^6 = e^{14} + e^{23}.$$

We consider the family of complex structures J_t , $t \neq 0$, defined by

$$\omega^1 = e^1 + i e^4, \quad \omega^2 = e^2 + i t(e^3 - e^4), \quad \omega^3 = 2(e^5 - i e^6).$$

Since the complex equations for J_t are

$$(11) \quad d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = 0, \quad d\omega^3 = \omega^{12} + \omega^{1\bar{1}} + \omega^{1\bar{2}} + \frac{1}{t}\omega^{2\bar{2}},$$

by (a3) above the complex structure J_t is defined on M for any $t \neq 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2 (i) the complex nilmanifold (M, J_t) has a compatible SKT metric if and only if $t = 1$, and admits an invariant Hermitian metric Ω with $\gamma_1(\Omega) < 0$ if and only if $t < 1$.

Therefore, J_t , $t \in (0, 1]$, is a deformation of the complex structure J_1 such that the complex manifold (M, J_t) has a 1-st Gauduchon metric for any t but admits a compatible SKT metric only for $t = 1$.

On the other hand, because of the form of the complex equations (11), the fundamental form of any invariant J_t -Hermitian metric is equivalent to one given by (8) with $x_{13} = x_{23} = 0$. Then, the balanced condition $d\Omega^2 = 0$ reduces to

$$x_{1\bar{1}} + t x_{2\bar{2}} = t x_{1\bar{2}}.$$

Since $x_{1\bar{1}} = i\lambda$ and $x_{2\bar{2}} = i\mu$, for some $\lambda, \mu > 0$, we have that $x_{1\bar{2}} = i(\mu + \lambda/t)$. This implies that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1\bar{1}} & x_{1\bar{2}} \\ -x_{2\bar{1}} & x_{2\bar{2}} \end{pmatrix} = (\mu + \lambda/t)^2 - \lambda\mu = \mu^2 + \frac{2-t}{t}\lambda\mu + \frac{\lambda^2}{t^2}$$

is positive for any $t \in (0, 1]$, which is a contradiction to the positive definiteness of the metric. By [7] we conclude that for any $t \in (0, 1]$ the complex manifold (M, J_t) does not admit any balanced (invariant or not) metric.

4. PRODUCTS OF SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS AND CIRCLE BUNDLES

Here we construct 1-st Gauduchon metrics on products of Sasakian manifolds and on certain circle bundles over quasi-Sasakian manifolds.

We remind that an almost contact metric manifold $(N^{2n-1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called *quasi-Sasakian* if it is normal and its fundamental form $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot) = g(\varphi\cdot, \cdot)$ is closed. If in particular $d\eta = \Phi$ then the almost contact metric structure is said *Sasakian*.

Let $(M_1, \varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$ and $(M_2, \varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta_2, g_2)$ be two Sasakian manifolds of dimension $2n_1 + 1$ and $2n_2 + 1$, respectively. On the product manifold $M = M_1 \times M_2$ we consider the family of complex structures J given by Tsukada [29] and used in [22] to construct astheno-Kähler structures:

$$J(X, Y) = \left(\varphi_1(X) - \frac{a}{b}\eta_1(X)\xi_1 - \frac{a^2 + b^2}{b}\eta_2(Y)\xi_1, \varphi_2(Y) + \frac{1}{b}\eta_1(X)\xi_2 + \frac{a}{b}\eta_2(Y)\xi_2 \right),$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M_2)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \neq 0$.

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that $\frac{t}{b} > 0$ we consider on M the J -Hermitian metric

$$(12) \quad \Omega = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + t\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2,$$

where Φ_j denotes the fundamental 2-form on $(M_j, \varphi_j, \xi_j, \eta_j, g_j)$ for each $j = 1, 2$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let us suppose that $2n = 2(n_1 + n_2 + 1) > 6$. The Hermitian structure Ω given by (12) on M is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if it is astheno-Kähler if and only if $n_1(n_1 - 1) + 2an_1n_2 + (a^2 + b^2)n_2(n_2 - 1) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $d\Omega = t(\Phi_1 \wedge \eta_2 - \eta_1 \wedge \Phi_2)$, we have that

$$d^c\Omega = JdJ\Omega = Jd\Omega = tJ(\Phi_1 \wedge \eta_2 - \eta_1 \wedge \Phi_2).$$

The definition of the complex structure implies that $J\eta_1 = \frac{a}{b}\eta_1 + \frac{a^2+b^2}{b}\eta_2$ and $J\eta_2 = -\frac{1}{b}\eta_1 - \frac{a}{b}\eta_2$, which implies

$$d^c\Omega = t(\Phi_1 \wedge J\eta_2 - J\eta_1 \wedge \Phi_2) = -\frac{t}{b}[\Phi_1 \wedge \eta_1 + a\Phi_1 \wedge \eta_2 + a\eta_1 \wedge \Phi_2 + (a^2 + b^2)\eta_2 \wedge \Phi_2].$$

Thus, $dd^c\Omega = \frac{t}{b}[\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_1 + 2a\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 + (a^2 + b^2)\Phi_2 \wedge \Phi_2]$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{n-2} &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{r} (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)^{n-2-r} \wedge (t\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2)^r \\ &= (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)^{n-3} \wedge [\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + t(n-2)\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2] \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-3} \binom{n-3}{s} \Phi_1^{n-3-s} \wedge \Phi_2^s \wedge [\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + t(n-2)\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2], \end{aligned}$$

because $(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2)^r$ is non-zero only for $r = 0, 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} &= \frac{t}{b} \sum_{s=0}^{n-3} \binom{n-3}{s} [\Phi_1^2 + 2a\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 + (a^2 + b^2)\Phi_2^2] \wedge \\ &\quad \Phi_1^{n-3-s} \wedge \Phi_2^s \wedge [\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + t(n-2)\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2] \\ &= \frac{t}{b} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} C(n, s) \Phi_1^{n-1-s} \wedge \Phi_2^s \wedge [\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + t(n-2)\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2], \end{aligned}$$

where $C(n, 0) = 1$, $C(n, 1) = n - 3 + 2a$, $C(n, n-2) = 2a + (a^2 + b^2)(n-3)$, $C(n, n-1) = a^2 + b^2$, and

$$C(n, s) = \binom{n-3}{s} + 2a \binom{n-3}{s-1} + (a^2 + b^2) \binom{n-3}{s-2},$$

for $2 \leq s \leq n-3$.

Now, by the same argument as in [22] we get that

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} &= \frac{t}{b} C(n, n_2) \Phi_1^{n_1} \wedge \Phi_2^{n_2} \wedge [\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + t(n-2)\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2] \\ &= \frac{t^2}{b} (n-2) C(n, n_2) \Phi_1^{n_1} \wedge \Phi_2^{n_2} \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that Ω is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if $C(n, n_2) = 0$ which is equivalent to $n_1(n_1 - 1) + 2an_1n_2 + (a^2 + b^2)n_2(n_2 - 1) = 0$. The latter condition is also equivalent to Ω be astheno-Kähler by [22, Theorem 4.1]. $\square$

Remark 4.2. By a similar calculation as in the proof of the previous theorem, for $n > 3$ we get that

$$\Omega^n = nt \left[\binom{n-3}{n_2} + 2 \binom{n-3}{n_2-1} + \binom{n-3}{n_2-2} \right] \Phi_1^{n_1} \wedge \Phi_2^{n_2} \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2$$

and using $dd^c\Omega = -2i\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega$ we conclude that if the metric Ω is not 1-st Gauduchon then

$$\frac{i}{2} \partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} = \frac{(n-2)t}{nb} \left[\frac{n_1(n_1-1) + 2n_1n_2a + n_2(n_2-1)(a^2+b^2)}{n_1(n_1-1) + 2n_1n_2 + n_2(n_2-1)} \right] \Omega^n.$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let us suppose that $n_1 = n_2 = 3$, that is, the real dimension of M is equal to $2n = 6$. Then, the Hermitian metric Ω given by (12) is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if it is SKT if and only if $a = 0$. Moreover, $\gamma_1(\Omega) < 0$ if and only if $a < 0$, which implies the existence of a 1-st Gauduchon metric on (M, J_a) for any $a < 0$ whenever the manifold is compact.*

Proof. Since M_j is 3-dimensional, $dd^c\Omega = \frac{2at}{b}\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2$ and

$$dd^c\Omega \wedge \Omega = \frac{2at^2}{b}\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2.$$

Since $\Omega^3 = 6t\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2$ and $dd^c\Omega = -2i\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega$, we conclude that

$$\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega = \frac{at}{3b}\Omega^3.$$

The last assertion follows from Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 10 in [11]. $\square$

Next we construct 1-st Gauduchon metrics on circle bundles over certain almost contact metric manifolds. Let $(N, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold and let F be a closed 2-form on N which represents an integral cohomology class on N . From the well known result of Kobayashi [20], we can consider the circle bundle $S^1 \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow N$, with connection 1-form θ on P whose curvature form is $d\theta = \pi^*(F)$, where $\pi : P \rightarrow N$ is the projection.

By using a normal almost contact structure (φ, ξ, η) on N and a connection 1-form θ on P such that

$$F(\varphi X, Y) + F(X, \varphi Y) = 0, \quad F(\xi, X) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(N),$$

one can define a complex structure J on P as follows (see [23]). For any right-invariant vector field X on P , JX is given by

$$(13) \quad \theta(JX) = -\pi^*(\eta(\pi_*X)), \quad \pi_*(JX) = \varphi(\pi_*X) + \tilde{\theta}(X)\xi,$$

where $\tilde{\theta}(X)$ is the unique function on N such that $\pi^*\tilde{\theta}(X) = \theta(X)$.

The above definition can be extended to arbitrary vector fields X on P , since X can be written in the form $X = \sum_j f_j X_j$, with f_j smooth functions on P and X_j right-invariant vector fields. Then $JX = \sum_j f_j JX_j$.

Moreover, a Riemannian metric h on P compatible with J (see [23]) is given by

$$(14) \quad h(X, Y) = \pi^*g(\pi_*X, \pi_*Y) + \theta(X)\theta(Y),$$

for any right-invariant vector fields X, Y .

If $(N, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is quasi-Sasakian it was shown in [6] that h is SKT if and only if

$$d\eta \wedge d\eta + F \wedge F = 0.$$

For 1-st Gauduchon metrics we prove the following

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(N, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold, $n > 2$, and let F be a closed 2-form on N which represents an integral cohomology class. Consider the circle bundle $S^1 \hookrightarrow P \xrightarrow{\pi} N$ with connection 1-form θ whose curvature form is $d\theta = \pi^*(F)$. If $d\theta$ is J -invariant then the almost Hermitian structure (J, h) on P , defined by (13) and (14), is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if*

$$(15) \quad (d\eta \wedge d\eta + F \wedge F) \wedge \Phi^{n-3} = 0,$$

where Φ denotes the fundamental form of the quasi-Sasakian structure (φ, ξ, η, g) .

Proof. Since $\Omega = \pi^*\Phi + \pi^*\eta \wedge \theta$ is the fundamental 2-form associated to (J, h) and the structure is quasi-Sasakian we have

$$Jd\Omega = J(\pi^*(d\eta)) \wedge J\theta - J(\pi^*(\eta)) \wedge J(d\theta).$$

Since $J\theta = \pi^*(\eta)$ and the almost contact structure is normal we have

$$J(\pi^*(d\eta)) = \pi^*(d\eta), \quad d\eta(\xi, X) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \chi(N)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} dJd\Omega &= d(\pi^*(d\eta) \wedge \pi^*(\eta) + \theta \wedge J(\pi^*(F))) \\ &= \pi^*(d\eta) \wedge \pi^*(d\eta) + d\theta \wedge J(\pi^*(F)) - \theta \wedge d[J(\pi^*(F))] \\ &= \pi^*(d\eta) \wedge \pi^*(d\eta) + \pi^*(F) \wedge \pi^*(F), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used that $d\theta = \pi^*(F)$ is invariant by the complex structure J . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} dJd\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} &= \pi^*(d\eta \wedge d\eta + F \wedge F) \wedge (\pi^*\Phi + \pi^*\eta \wedge \theta)^{n-2} \\ &= \pi^*(d\eta \wedge d\eta + F \wedge F) \wedge [\pi^*\Phi^{n-2} + (n-2)\pi^*(\Phi^{n-3} \wedge \eta) \wedge \theta] \\ &= (n-2)\pi^*[(d\eta \wedge d\eta + F \wedge F) \wedge \Phi^{n-3} \wedge \eta] \wedge \theta \end{aligned}$$

and $dJd\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2}$ vanishes if and only if the equation (15) is satisfied. $\square$

Example 4.5. Consider the 5-dimensional solvable Lie group S with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^i = 0, & i = 1, 4, \\ de^2 = e^{13}, \\ de^3 = -e^{12}, \\ de^5 = e^{14} + e^{23}, \end{cases}$$

endowed with the left-invariant Sasakian structure (φ, ξ, η, g) given by

$$\varphi(e_1) = e_4, \quad \varphi(e_2) = -e_3, \quad \eta = e^5, \quad g = \sum_{i=1}^5 (e^i)^2.$$

By [2, Corollary 4.2] the solvable Lie group S admits a compact quotient by a uniform discrete subgroup Γ . If we consider the S^1 -bundle (P, J) over the compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash S$ with connection 1-form θ such that

$$d\theta = \pi^*(2e^{14} - 2e^{23})$$

we have that $\Omega = \phi^*\Phi + \pi^*\eta \wedge \theta$ is a J -Hermitian structure on the S^1 -bundle over $\Gamma \backslash S$ such that $\gamma_1(\Omega) < 0$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.3 the complex manifold (P, J) admits a 1-st Gauduchon metric.

Remark 4.6. As a consequence of Theorem 4.4 we have that on a trivial S^1 -bundle over a Sasakian manifold the metric h compatible with the complex structure J given by (13) cannot be 1-st Gauduchon.

We show next that in real dimension 8 there are non-SKT complex nilmanifolds having invariant 1-st Gauduchon metrics. The complex equations

$$(16) \quad d\omega^1 = d\omega^2 = d\omega^3 = 0, \quad d\omega^4 = A\omega^{1\bar{1}} - \omega^{2\bar{2}} - \omega^{3\bar{3}}$$

define a complex 8-dimensional nilmanifold (M_A, J_A) for any $A = p + iq \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to see that M_A is a quotient of $H_3 \times H_5$ if $q \neq 0$, a quotient of $H_7 \times \mathbb{R}$ if $q = 0$,

and a quotient of $H_5 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ if $p = q = 0$. Here we denote by H_{2n+1} the generalized real Heisenberg group of dimension $2n + 1$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let M be a nilmanifold of real dimension $2n$ endowed with an invariant complex structure J , and let Ω be any invariant J -Hermitian structure. For $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$, the structure Ω is k -th Gauduchon if and only if it is $(n-k-1)$ -th Gauduchon.*

Proof. For any $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$, we use the formula

$$(17) \quad \int_M \partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \Omega^{n-k-1} = \int_M \partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^{n-k-1} \wedge \Omega^k,$$

which holds for a general compact complex manifold. Indeed,

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \Omega^{n-k-1} = d(\bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \Omega^{n-k-1}) + \bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \partial \Omega^{n-k-1}$$

and by Stokes theorem

$$(18) \quad \int_M \partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \Omega^{n-k-1} = \int_M \bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \partial \Omega^{n-k-1}.$$

On the other hand, in a similar way we get

$$(19) \quad \int_M \partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^{n-k-1} \wedge \Omega^k = \int_M \bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \partial \Omega^{n-k-1}.$$

Comparing the two formulas (18) and (19) we obtain (17).

Since Ω is invariant on the complex nilmanifold (M, J) , there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \Omega^{n-k-1} = \lambda \Omega^n$ and $\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^{n-k-1} \wedge \Omega^k = \mu \Omega^n$. Therefore,

$$\lambda \int_M \Omega^n = \frac{i}{2} \int_M \partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^k \wedge \Omega^{n-k-1} = \frac{i}{2} \int_M \partial \bar{\partial} \Omega^{n-k-1} \wedge \Omega^k = \mu \int_M \Omega^n,$$

which implies that $\lambda = 0$ if and only if $\mu = 0$, i.e. Ω is k -th Gauduchon if and only if it is $(n-k-1)$ -th Gauduchon. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *Let (M_A, J_A) be the complex nilmanifold given by (16) and let*

$$\Omega = \sum_{j,k=1}^4 x_{j\bar{k}} \omega^{j\bar{k}},$$

where $x_{j\bar{k}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{x_{k\bar{j}}} = -x_{j\bar{k}}$, be the fundamental 2-form of any invariant J_A -Hermitian metric g on M_A . Then, Ω is never SKT, and Ω is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if it is 2-nd Gauduchon if and only if it is astheno-Kähler.

Moreover,

(i) Ω is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if

$$p \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{2\bar{2}} & x_{2\bar{4}} \\ -x_{2\bar{4}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix} + p \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{3\bar{3}} & x_{3\bar{4}} \\ -x_{3\bar{4}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1\bar{1}} & x_{1\bar{4}} \\ -x_{1\bar{4}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix};$$

(ii) Ω is balanced if and only if $q = 0$ and

$$p \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{2\bar{2}} & x_{2\bar{3}} & x_{2\bar{4}} \\ -x_{2\bar{3}} & x_{3\bar{3}} & x_{3\bar{4}} \\ -x_{2\bar{4}} & -x_{3\bar{4}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1\bar{1}} & x_{1\bar{2}} & x_{1\bar{4}} \\ -x_{1\bar{2}} & x_{2\bar{2}} & x_{2\bar{4}} \\ -x_{1\bar{4}} & -x_{2\bar{4}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1\bar{1}} & x_{1\bar{3}} & x_{1\bar{4}} \\ -x_{1\bar{3}} & x_{3\bar{3}} & x_{3\bar{4}} \\ -x_{1\bar{4}} & -x_{3\bar{4}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if $p < 0$ then the complex manifold (M_A, J_A) does not admit either invariant balanced metrics or 1-st Gauduchon metrics.

Proof. From the complex equations (16) it follows that Ω satisfies

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = x_{4\bar{4}}(A + \bar{A})\omega^{1\bar{1}2\bar{2}} + x_{4\bar{4}}(A + \bar{A})\omega^{1\bar{1}3\bar{3}} - 2x_{4\bar{4}}\omega^{2\bar{2}3\bar{3}},$$

which never vanishes because $x_{4\bar{4}} \neq 0$. Therefore, any invariant J_A -Hermitian metric is not SKT.

Moreover, a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^2 &= 2x_{4\bar{4}}[(A + \bar{A})(x_{2\bar{2}}x_{4\bar{4}} + x_{3\bar{3}}x_{4\bar{4}} + |x_{2\bar{4}}|^2 + |x_{3\bar{4}}|^2) \\ &\quad - 2(x_{1\bar{1}}x_{4\bar{4}} + |x_{1\bar{4}}|^2)]\omega^{1\bar{1}2\bar{2}3\bar{3}4\bar{4}} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the metric is 1-st Gauduchon if and only if

$$(20) \quad (A + \bar{A})(x_{2\bar{2}}x_{4\bar{4}} + x_{3\bar{3}}x_{4\bar{4}} + |x_{2\bar{4}}|^2 + |x_{3\bar{4}}|^2) - 2(x_{1\bar{1}}x_{4\bar{4}} + |x_{1\bar{4}}|^2) = 0.$$

It is easy to check that the condition for astheno-Kähler is satisfied if and only if (20) holds. This condition is precisely the one given in (i), so the proof of (i) follows from Lemma 4.7.

The balanced condition for Ω , i.e. $d\Omega^3 = 0$, can be seen to be equivalent to the system given by the equation

$$A \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{2\bar{2}} & x_{2\bar{3}} & x_{2\bar{4}} \\ -\overline{x_{2\bar{3}}} & x_{3\bar{3}} & x_{3\bar{4}} \\ -\overline{x_{2\bar{4}}} & -\overline{x_{3\bar{4}}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1\bar{1}} & x_{1\bar{2}} & x_{1\bar{4}} \\ -\overline{x_{1\bar{2}}} & x_{2\bar{2}} & x_{2\bar{4}} \\ -\overline{x_{1\bar{4}}} & -\overline{x_{2\bar{4}}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1\bar{1}} & x_{1\bar{3}} & x_{1\bar{4}} \\ -\overline{x_{1\bar{3}}} & x_{3\bar{3}} & x_{3\bar{4}} \\ -\overline{x_{1\bar{4}}} & -\overline{x_{3\bar{4}}} & x_{4\bar{4}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and its conjugate. The positive definiteness of the metric g implies in particular that

$$-ix_{j\bar{j}}, \quad -\det \begin{pmatrix} x_{j\bar{j}} & x_{j\bar{k}} \\ -\overline{x_{j\bar{k}}} & x_{k\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{j\bar{j}} & x_{j\bar{k}} & x_{j\bar{l}} \\ -\overline{x_{j\bar{k}}} & x_{k\bar{k}} & x_{k\bar{l}} \\ -\overline{x_{j\bar{l}}} & -\overline{x_{k\bar{l}}} & x_{l\bar{l}} \end{pmatrix}$$

are strictly positive real numbers for $1 \leq j < k < l \leq 4$. Therefore, the balanced condition for Ω is satisfied if and only if A is real and (ii) holds.

Finally, the last assertion in the proposition follows easily from the positive definiteness of the metric g . $\square$

Remark 4.9. The family (16) belongs to a more general family of astheno-Kähler manifolds given in [10].

As a consequence of the above proposition and [7] we get that the complex manifold (M_A, J_A) does not admit any SKT Hermitian structure, and if $p < 0$ or $q \neq 0$ then (M_A, J_A) does not possess any balanced Hermitian metric.

Corollary 4.10. *Let M be a compact quotient of $H_7 \times \mathbb{R}$ by a lattice of maximal rank. Then, there exists a complex structure on M which does not admit any SKT Hermitian structure, but having balanced Hermitian metrics and k -th Gauduchon metrics for $k = 1$ and 2 .*

Notice that a result similar to the above corollary holds for $H_5 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, which corresponds to the case $A = 0$.

Corollary 4.11. *Let M be a compact quotient of $H_3 \times H_5$ by a lattice of maximal rank. Then, there exists a complex structure on M which does not admit neither SKT nor balanced Hermitian structure, but having invariant k -th Gauduchon metrics for $k = 1$ and 2 .*

Remark 4.12. Note that for $p = q = 0$ one has on M_A the complex structure coming from the product $H_5 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ of a Sasakian manifold with a cosymplectic one. If $p < 0$ (respectively $p > 0$) and $q = 0$ then the complex structure comes from a product of a Sasakian (resp. quasi-Sasakian) manifold with $\mathbb{R}$. Finally, for $q \neq 0$ the complex structure comes from a product $H_5 \times H_3$ of two quasi-Sasakian manifolds.

5. BLOW-UPS

In [9] it was shown that the SKT condition is preserved by the blow-up of the complex manifold at a point or along a compact submanifold. We can prove the following

Proposition 5.1. *Let (M, J) be a complex manifold of complex dimension $n > 2$ and endowed with a J -Hermitian metric g such that its fundamental 2-form Ω satisfies the condition $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} > 0$ (or < 0). Then, the blow-up $\tilde{M}_p$ at a point $p \in M$ and the blow-up $\tilde{M}_Y$ along a compact submanifold Y admit a Hermitian metric such that its fundamental 2-form $\tilde{\Omega}$ satisfies the condition $\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\Omega} \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n-2} > 0$ (or < 0).*

Proof. Let us start the proof in the case of the blow-up of M at a point p . We recall now briefly the construction of the blow-up. Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be holomorphic coordinates in an open set U centered around the point $p \in M$. The blow-up $\tilde{M}_p$ of M is the complex manifold obtained by adjoining to $M \setminus \{p\}$ the manifold

$$\tilde{U} = \{(z, l) \in U \times \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \mid z \in l\}$$

by using the isomorphism

$$\tilde{U} \setminus \{z = 0\} \cong U \setminus \{p\}$$

given by the projection $(z, l) \rightarrow z$. In this way there is a natural projection $\pi : \tilde{M}_p \rightarrow M$ extending the identity on $M \setminus \{p\}$ and the exceptional divisor $\pi^{-1}(p)$ of the blow-up is naturally isomorphic to the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$.

The 2-form $\pi^*\Omega$ is a $(1, 1)$ form on $\tilde{M}_p$ since π is holomorphic, but it is not positive definite on $\pi^{-1}(M \setminus \{p\})$. As in the Kähler case, let h be a C^∞ -function having support in U , i.e. $0 \leq h \leq 1$ and $h = 1$ in a neighborhood of p . On $U \times (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$ consider the 2-form

$$\gamma = i\partial\bar{\partial}((p_1^*h)p_2^*\log\|\cdot\|^2),$$

where p_1 and p_2 denote the two projections of $U \times (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$ on U and $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, respectively.

Let ψ be the restriction of γ to $\tilde{M}_p$. Then there exists a small enough real number ϵ such that the 2-form $\tilde{\Omega} = \epsilon\psi + \pi^*\Omega$ is positive definite.

Then

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\Omega} \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n-2} = \partial\bar{\partial}\pi^*\Omega \wedge (\pi^*\Omega + \epsilon\psi)^{n-2}.$$

Since $\partial\bar{\partial}\pi^*\Omega \wedge \pi^*\Omega^{n-2} > 0$ (or < 0) by assumption we have that we can choose ϵ small enough in order to have $\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\Omega} \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n-2} > 0$ if $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} > 0$ (or < 0 if $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} < 0$).

For the case of the blow-up of (M, J) along a compact submanifold one proceeds in a similar way. Let $Y \subset M$ be a compact complex submanifold of M . Then consider the blow-up $\tilde{M}_Y$ of M along Y . If we denote by $\pi : \tilde{M}_Y \rightarrow M$ the holomorphic projection, by construction $\pi : \tilde{M} \setminus \pi^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow M \setminus Y$ is a biholomorphism

and $\pi^{-1}(Y) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y|M})$, where $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y|M})$ is the projectified of the normal bundle of Y . As in [9] we can show that there exists a holomorphic line bundle L on $\tilde{M}_Y$ such that L is trivial on $\tilde{M}_Y \setminus \pi^{-1}(Y)$ and such that its restriction to $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y|M})}(1)$.

Let h be a Hermitian structure on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y|M})}(1)$ and ω be the corresponding Chern form. As in [9] one can show that the metric h can be extended to a metric structure $\hat{h}$ on L , in such a way that $\hat{h}$ is the flat metric structure on the complement of a compact neighborhood W of Y induced by the trivialization of L on $\tilde{M}_Y \setminus \pi^{-1}(Y)$. Therefore, the Chern curvature $\hat{\omega}$ of L vanishes on $M \setminus W$ and $\hat{\omega}|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y|M})} = \omega$.

Hence, since Y is compact, there exists $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$, small enough such that

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \pi^* \Omega + \epsilon \hat{\omega}$$

is positive definite. As for the previous case of blow-up at a point we can choose ϵ small enough in order to have $\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\Omega} \wedge \tilde{\Omega}^{n-2} > 0$ if $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} > 0$ (or < 0 if $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-2} < 0$). $\square$

If one applies the previous proposition to a compact complex manifold of complex dimension 3 endowed with a Hermitian structure (J, g, Ω) such that $\gamma_1(\Omega) < 0$, then by using Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 10 in [11], there exists a 1-st Gauduchon metric on the complex blow-up at a point or along a compact submanifold.

6. TWISTS

In this section we will show that by applying the twist construction of [28, Proposition 4.5] one can get new simply-connected 6-dimensional complex manifolds which admit 1-st Gauduchon (non SKT) metrics.

We recall that in general, given a manifold M with a T_M -torus action and a principal T_P -torus bundle P with connection θ , if the torus action of T_M lifts to P commuting with the principal action of T_P , then one may construct the twist W of the manifold, as the quotient of P/T_M by the torus action (see [28]). Moreover, if the lifted torus action preserves the principal connection θ , then tensors on M can be transferred to tensors on W if their pullbacks to P coincide on $\mathcal{H} = \text{Ker } \theta$. A differential form α on M is $\mathcal{H}$ -related to a differential form α_W on W , $\alpha \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \alpha_W$, if their pull-backs to P coincide on $\mathcal{H}$.

Let now $A_M \cong A^m$ be a connected abelian group acting on M in such a way that there is a smooth twist W given via curvature $F \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{a}_P)$ and invertible lifting function $a \in \Omega^0(M, \mathfrak{a}_M \otimes \mathfrak{a}_P^*)$, where $\mathfrak{a}_M$ (respectively $\mathfrak{a}_P$) denotes the Lie algebra of A_M (respectively $A_P \cong A^m$).

If the twist W has a Hermitian structure (J_W, g_W, Ω_W) induced by the one (J, g, Ω) on M , one has for the fundamental 2-forms and for the torsion 3-forms of the Bismut connections the following relations

$$\Omega_W \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \Omega, \quad c_W \sim_{\mathcal{H}} c - a^{-1} J_M F \wedge \xi^b,$$

where $\xi : \mathfrak{a}_M \rightarrow \chi(M)$ is the infinitesimal action and ξ^b is the dual of ξ by using the metric. In the case F is of instanton type, i.e. if F is of type $(1, 1)$, one has that

$$dc_W \sim_{\mathcal{H}} dc - a^{-1} F \wedge [i_{\xi} c + d\xi^b - g(\xi, \xi) a^{-1} F].$$

Swann obtained with the instanton construction new examples of simply-connected SKT manifolds. One can adapt the previous construction in the case of complex manifolds endowed with a 1-st Gauduchon metric.

Let (N^{2n}, J) be a simply-connected compact complex manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$ with a J -Hermitian metric $g_{N^{2n}}$ which is 1-st Gauduchon. Consider the product $M^{2n+2} = N^{2n} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, where $\mathbb{T}^2$ is a 2-torus with an invariant Kähler structure. Then M^{2n+2} has a 1-st Gauduchon metric $g_{M^{2n+2}} = g_{N^{2n}} + g_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ with torsion 3-form c supported on N^{2n} .

Let ξ be the torus action on the $\mathbb{T}^2$ factor. We have then $\mathfrak{a}_M \cong \mathfrak{a}_P \cong \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$i_\xi c = 0, \quad d\xi^b = 0$$

and a is a constant isomorphism $\mathfrak{a}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_P$. Since

$$\Omega_W^{n-1} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} (\Omega_{N^{2n}} + \Omega_{\mathbb{T}^2})^{n-1} = \Omega_{N^{2n}}^{n-1} + (n-1)\Omega_{N^{2n}}^{n-2} \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{T}^2}$$

we get

$$(dc_W \wedge \Omega_W^{n-1}) \sim_{\mathcal{H}} [dc + g(\xi, \xi)a^{-1}F \wedge a^{-1}F] \wedge [\Omega_{N^{2n}}^{n-1} + (n-1)\Omega_{N^{2n}}^{n-2} \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{T}^2}]$$

and thus by using the assumption that $g_{N^{2n}}$ is 1-st Gauduchon we obtain

$$(dc_W \wedge \Omega_W^{n-1}) \sim_{\mathcal{H}} g(\xi, \xi)a^{-1}F \wedge a^{-1}F \wedge (n-2)\Omega_{N^{2n}}^{n-2} \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{T}^2}.$$

Assume that there are two linearly independent integral closed $(1, 1)$ -forms $F_i \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1,1}(N^{2n})$, $i = 1, 2$, with $[F] \in H^2(N^{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$. If for $n = 2$

$$\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} F_i \wedge F_j \right) < 0$$

or for $n > 3$

$$\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} F_i \wedge F_j \right) \wedge \Omega_{N^{2n}}^{n-2} = 0$$

for some positive definite matrix $(\gamma_{ij}) \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$, then by [28, Proposition 4.5] there is a compact simply connected $\mathbb{T}^2$ -bundle $\tilde{W}$ over N^{2n} whose total space admits a 1-st Gauduchon metric. Note that the condition

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} F_i \wedge F_j = 0$$

is equivalent to the condition that 1-st Gauduchon metric on $\tilde{W}$ is SKT.

The manifold $\tilde{W}$ is the universal covering of the twist W of $N^{2n} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, where the Kähler flat metric over $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}^2$ is given by the matrix (γ_{ij}) with respect to the standard generators with a compatible complex structure and topologically W is a principal torus bundle over N^{2n} with Chern classes $[F_i]$.

Example 6.1. Consider as in [28, Example 4.6] a simply-connected projective Kähler manifold of real dimension 4 and fix an imbedding of N_0 in $\mathbb{CP}^r$. Then a generic linear subspace L of complex dimension $r-2$ in $\mathbb{CP}^r$ intersects transversely N_0 in a finite number of points $p_1, \dots, p_d$. One may choose homogeneous coordinates $[z_0, \dots, z_r]$ on $\mathbb{CP}^r$ in such a way L is defined by $z_0 = z_1 = 0$. Let now $\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^r \subset \mathbb{CP}^r \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ be the complex blow-up of $\mathbb{CP}^r$ along L , and denote by π_1 and π_2 respectively the projections on the first and on the second factor of $\mathbb{CP}^r \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. As shown in [28, Example 4.6] if we define $N_1 = \pi_1^{-1}(N_0)$, then $f|_{N_1}$ defines a $(1, 1)$ -form F_1 on N_1 such that the cohomology class $[F_1]$ is non-zero and $F_1^2 = 0$. If one iterates this construction one gets another non-zero cohomology class $[F_2]$ such that $F_2^2 = 0$. Now, since $F_1 \wedge F_2 \neq 0$, one can choose a positive matrix

$(\gamma_{ij}) \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \gamma_{ij} F_i \wedge F_j < 0$. Then, by applying Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 10 of [11], one gets a 1-st Gauduchon metric on the universal covering of the twist of $N_1 \times \mathbb{T}^2$.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank S. Ivanov for useful comments and suggestions about the proof of Theorem 2.5. This work has been partially supported through Project MICINN (Spain) MTM2008-06540-C02-02, Project MIUR “Riemannian Metrics and Differentiable Manifolds” and by GNSAGA of INdAM.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Alexandrov, S. Ivanov, Vanishing theorems on Hermitian manifolds, *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **14** (2001), 251–265.
- [2] A. Andrada, A. Fino, L. Vezzoni, A class of Sasakian 5-manifolds, *Transform. Groups* **14** (2009), 493–512.
- [3] V. Apostolov, M. Gualtieri, Generalized Kähler manifolds with split tangent bundle, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **271** (2007), 561–575.
- [4] A. Blanchard, Sur les variétés analytiques complexes, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3)* **73** (1956), 157–202.
- [5] N. Enrietti, A. Fino, L. Vezzoni, Tamed symplectic forms and SKT metrics, to appear in *J. Symplectic Geom.*
- [6] M. Fernández, A. Fino, L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, Strong Kähler with torsion structures from almost contact manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.* **249** (2011), 49–75.
- [7] A. Fino, G. Grantcharov, Properties of manifolds with skew-symmetric torsion and special holonomy, *Adv. Math.* **189** (2004), 439–450.
- [8] A. Fino, M. Parton, S. Salamon, Families of strong KT structures in six dimensions, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79** (2004), 317–340.
- [9] A. Fino, A. Tomassini, Blow-ups and resolutions of strong Kähler with torsion metrics, *Adv. Math.* **221** (2009), 914–935.
- [10] A. Fino, A. Tomassini, On astheno-Kähler metrics, *J. London Math. Soc.* **83** (2011), 290–308.
- [11] J. Fu, Z. Wang, D. Wu, Semilinear equations, the γ_k function, and generalized Gauduchon metrics, to appear in *J. European Math. Soc.*
- [12] P. Gauduchon, La 1-forme de torsion d’une variété hermitienne compacte, *Math. Ann.* **267** (1984), 495–518.
- [13] S.J. Gates, C.M. Hull, M. Roček, Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models, *Nuclear Phys. B* **248** (1984), 157–186.
- [14] D. Grantcharov, G. Grantcharov, Y.S. Poon, Calabi-Yau connections with torsion on toric bundles, *J. Diff. Geom.* **78** (2008), 13–32.
- [15] A. Gray, L.M. Hervella, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **123** (1980), 35–58.
- [16] M. Gualtieri, Generalized complex geometry, DPhil thesis, University of Oxford, 2003, [e-print math.DG/0401221](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0401221).
- [17] N.J. Hitchin, Instantons and generalized Kähler geometry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **265** (2006), 131–164.
- [18] S. Ivanov, G. Papadopoulos, Vanishing theorems and string backgrounds, *Classical Quantum Grav.* **18** (2001), 1089–1110.
- [19] J. Jost, S.-T. Yau, A non-linear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry, *Acta Math.* **170** (1993), 221–254; Corrigendum *Acta Math.* **173** (1994), 307.
- [20] S. Kobayashi, Principal fibre bundles with the 1-dimensional toroidal group, *Tohoku Math. J.* **8** (1956), 29–45.
- [21] K. Matsuo, T. Takahashi, On compact astheno-Kähler manifolds, *Colloq. Math.* **89** (2001), 213–221.
- [22] K. Matsuo, Astheno-Kähler structures on Calabi-Eckmann manifolds, *Colloq. Math.* **115** (2009), 33–39.

- [23] Y. Ogawa, Some properties on manifolds with almost contact structures, *Tohoku Math. J.* **15** (1963), 148–161.
- [24] G. Papadopolous, KT and HKT geometries in strings and in black hole moduli spaces, [hep.th/0201111](#).
- [25] S. Salamon, Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **157** (2001), 311–333.
- [26] J. Streets, G. Tian, A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **16** (2010), 3101–3133.
- [27] A. Strominger, Superstrings with torsion, *Nuclear Phys. B* **274** (1986), 253–284.
- [28] A. Swann, Twisting Hermitian and hypercomplex geometries, *Duke Math. J.* **155** (2010), 403–431.
- [29] K. Tsukada, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian on Calabi-Eckmann manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **33** (1981), 673–691.
- [30] L. Ugarte, Hermitian structures on six dimensional nilmanifolds, *Transform. Groups* **12** (2007), 175–202.
- [31] L. Ugarte, R. Villacampa, Non-nilpotent complex geometry of nilmanifolds and heterotic supersymmetry, [arXiv:0912.5110v1 \[math.DG\]](#).

(Fino) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10,
10123 TORINO, ITALY

E-mail address: annamaria.fino@unito.it

(Ugarte) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS-I.U.M.A., UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, CAMPUS
PLAZA SAN FRANCISCO, 50009 ZARAGOZA, SPAIN

E-mail address: ugarte@unizar.es